UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,555	03/02/2004	Dirk Trossen	042933/273562	3293
826 ALSTON & BI	7590 03/17/200 RD LLP	EXAMINER		
	ERICA PLAZA	HOANG, HIEU T		
	RYON STREET, SUIT NC 28280-4000	E 4000	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2152	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/791,555	TROSSEN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	HIEU T. HOANG	2152
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed I the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on 02 March 2004 is/are:	rawn from consideration. /or election requirement. ner. : a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected t	•
Applicant may not request that any objection to th Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat iority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03/02/2004.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Art Unit: 2152

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to the communication filed on 03/02/2004.

2. Claims 1-24 are pending and presented for examination.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 1-24 are objected to because the preambles are too general. A specific preamble for each claim is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 19-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. A computer program product, as recited in the specification as a context manager which is software (page 21 lines 23-24). However, the claims recite "a computer program product comprising a computer-readable storage medium." This limitation is unclear because context manager can not comprise a computer storage medium. Correction is required. It is suggested that the applicant amend the claims to read "a computer-readable storage medium comprising..."

Art Unit: 2152

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 7. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nykanen et al. (US 2002/0173295, hereafter Nykanen), in view of Tervo et al. (EP 1,180,887 A1, hereafter Tervo).
- 8. For claim 1, Nykanen discloses a system comprising:

at least one service provider capable of providing at least one context-based service (fig. 2A, web server 160 providing context aware web services A, X and Y); and

a terminal capable of determining an authorization of the at least one service provider to receive context information relating to at least one context of at least one of the terminal and a terminal user (abstract, fig. 2, privacy profile with associated permission list, certificates, trust list, flags for authenticated programs or web services for requesting and receiving context from sensor inputs), and.

Nykanen does not explicitly disclose:

the terminal is capable of storing at least one cookie associated with the at least one service provider; wherein the terminal is capable of at least one of inserting,

Art Unit: 2152

updating and deleting context information relating to the at least one context in the at least one cookie associated with each authorized service provider;

However, Tervo discloses the same ([0011], [0012], a context such as device location can be updated as a portion of a cookie relating to a domain or application, the cookie is stored at the device)

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Nykanen and Tervo to create and update regularly at the client side cookies that relate to a web service or application and user device ([Tervo, [0013]).

9. For claim 7, Nykanen discloses a terminal comprising:

a controller capable of communicating with a context manager (fig. 2, privacy control 150 and context inference engine 136), wherein the context manager is capable of determining an authorization of the at least one service provider to receive context information relating to at least one context of at least one of the terminal and a terminal user (fig. 2, abstract, privacy profile with associated permission list, certificates, trust list, flags for authenticated programs or web services for requesting and receiving context from sensor inputs);

Nykanen does not explicitly disclose:

a memory capable of storing at least one cookie associated with at least one service provider; and the context manager is also capable of at least one of inserting,

Art Unit: 2152

updating and deleting context information relating to the at least one context in the at least one cookie associated with each authorized service provider.

However, Tervo discloses the same ([0011], [0012], a context such as device location can be updated as a portion of a cookie relating to a domain or application, the cookie is stored at the device)

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Nykanen and Tervo to create and update regularly at the client side cookies that relate to a web service or application and user device ([Tervo, [0013]).

- 10. Claims 13 and 19 are rejected for the same rationale as in claim 1.
- 11. For claim 2, Nykanen-Tervo discloses the invention as in claim 1. Nykanen-Tervo further discloses the terminal is further capable of receiving a trigger to at least one of insert, update and delete context information (Nykanen, fig. 2, triggers to update are changes in contexts created by sensor inputs) before determining the authorization and at least one of inserting, updating and deleting the context information (Nykanen, fig. 2, authorization based on privacy profile).
- 12. Claims 8, 14, 20 are rejected for the same rationale as in claim 2.

Art Unit: 2152

13. For claim 3, Nykanen-Tervo discloses the invention as in claim 2. Nykanen-Tervo further discloses the terminal is capable of at least one of inserting, updating and deleting context information based upon the trigger (Tervo, [0012], [0013], update position context in the cookie based upon receiving position sensor change).

- 14. Claims 9, 15, 21 are rejected for the same rationale as in claim 3.
- 15. For claim 4, Nykanen-Tervo discloses the invention as in claim 3. Nykanen-Tervo further discloses an authorization manager capable of storing at least one authorization policy (Nykanen, fig. 2, privacy profile), wherein the terminal is capable of receiving at least one authorization policy from the authorization manager to thereby determine the authorization of the at least one service provider (Nykanen, items 155, 158, 159, 161), and wherein the terminal is capable of receiving a trigger comprising at least one of a change in at least one context of the context information (Nykanen, fig. 2, change received from sensor inputs), a change in at least one authorization policy, and a periodicity.
- 16. Claims 10, 16, 22 are rejected for the same rationale as in claim 4.
- 17. For claim 5, Nykanen-Tervo discloses the invention as in claim 1. Nykanen-Tervo further discloses the at least one service provider is capable of requesting, from the terminal, context information relating to at least one context (Nykanen, [0068], web

Art Unit: 2152

applications request access to context), wherein the terminal is capable of sending the requested context information from a cookie including the requested context information to the at least one service provider (Tervo, [0012], [0013], context change is updated in a cookie, then provided to the service provider or server), wherein the terminal is capable of sending the requested context information when the at least one service provider is authorized to receive the requested context information (fig. 2, authorized service can receive the context), and wherein the at least one service provider is capable of sending a context-based service to the terminal based upon the context information sent to the at least one service provider from the terminal (Tervo, [0014], service provider's service is updated).

- 18. Claims 11, 17, 23 are rejected for the same rationale as in claim 5.
- 19. For claim 6, Nykanen-Tervo discloses the invention as in claim 5. Nykanen-Tervo further discloses the context information includes an expiration time for at least one context, and wherein the at least one service provider is capable of sending the context-based service further based upon the expiration time of the context information sent to the at least one service provider from the terminal (Tervo, [0005], [0036], update service each interval of expiration time).
- 20. Claims 12, 18, 24 are rejected for the same rationale as in claim 6.

Art Unit: 2152

Conclusion

21. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure:

Blakley et al. US 2004/0128390.

Lee et al. US 2005/0114521.

Kirkland. US 2005/0033850.

Akers et al. US 2003/0140146.

Habegger et al. US 2003/0074583.

22. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Hieu T. Hoang whose telephone number is 571-270-

1253. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 8 a.m.-5 p.m.,

EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit can be reached on 571-272-3913. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Art Unit: 2152

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HH

/Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2152